

# Equality of two strongly unique minimal projection constants

Grzegorz Lewicki, Agnieszka Micek\*

*Department of Mathematics, Jagiellonian University, Łojasiewicza 6, Poland*

Received 2 May 2009; received in revised form 19 July 2010; accepted 25 July 2010

Available online 30 July 2010

Communicated by András Kroó

---

## Abstract

Let  $\mathcal{P}(X, Y)$  denote the set of all linear, continuous projections from a Banach space  $X$  onto a linear subspace  $Y$ . Let  $\hat{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  be such that  $0 < f_1 \leq f_2 \leq \dots \leq f_k$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^k f_i = 1$ . Define  $f^{(0)} = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k, 0, \dots, 0)$ ,  $f^{(j)} = (0, \dots, 0, 1_{j+k}, 0, \dots, 0)$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-k$ . Let  $\hat{H} = \ker \hat{f}$  and  $H = \bigcap_{j=0}^{n-k} \ker f^{(j)}$ . In this paper we prove that the strongly unique minimal projection constant (SUP-constant) of the space  $\mathcal{P}(l_\infty^{(k)}, \hat{H})$  is equal to the SUP-constant of the space  $\mathcal{P}(l_\infty^{(n)}, H)$ . This solves a conjecture stated in Odyniec and Prophet (2007) [12, p. 120]. The main tool applied in our proof is a Kolmogorov type theorem for the strongly unique best approximation.

© 2010 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

**Keywords:** Minimal projection; Strong unicity; Strongly unique minimal projection constant; SUP-constant

---

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we discuss equality of two strong unicity constants in the minimal projection problem. The notion of strong unicity was introduced by Newman and Shapiro [10]. Let us recall it now.

Let  $X$  be a normed space and let  $Y \subset X$  be a nonempty subset. An element  $y \in Y$  is called a strongly unique best approximation (for short SUBA) to  $x \in X$  if and only if there exists  $r > 0$

---

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [Grzegorz.Lewicki@im.uj.edu.pl](mailto:Grzegorz.Lewicki@im.uj.edu.pl) (G. Lewicki), [Agnieszka.Micek@im.uj.edu.pl](mailto:Agnieszka.Micek@im.uj.edu.pl) (A. Micek).

such that for every  $v \in Y$

$$\|x - v\| \geq \|x - y\| + r\|v - y\|. \quad (1)$$

The biggest constant  $r$  satisfying (1) is called the strong unicity constant.

The significance of this notion can be illustrated by its two main applications. The error estimate of the Remez algorithm is based on an iteration process for finding the constant  $r$  satisfying (1). The strong unicity of the best approximation yields the Lipschitz continuity of the best approximation mapping (see e.g. [4, p. 82]).

Now denote by  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  the set of all linear, continuous operators from  $X$  into  $Y$  and by  $\mathcal{P}(X, Y)$  the set of all projections going from  $X$  onto  $Y$ .

In the case of projections, the notion of strong unicity reduces to the following definition:

**Definition 1.** Let  $P_0 \in \mathcal{P}(X, Y)$ . Then  $P_0$  is called a strongly unique minimal projection (we will write this as a SUM-projection for brevity) if and only if there exists  $r > 0$  such that for any  $P \in \mathcal{P}(X, Y)$

$$\|P\| \geq \|P_0\| + r\|P - P_0\|. \quad (2)$$

The largest possible constant for which the inequality in (2) holds is called a strongly unique projection constant (for short, a SUP-constant).

Note that any SUM-projection is the unique minimal projection in  $\mathcal{P}(X, Y)$ .

Now let us introduce some notation. By  $S_X$  we denote the unit sphere in a normed space  $X$  and by  $\text{ext } S_X$  the set of its extreme points. Let  $X$  be a Banach space and let  $Y \subset X$  be its closed subspace. Set

$$E(x) = \{f \in \text{ext } S_{X^*} : f(x) = \|x\|\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_Y = \{L \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y) : L|_Y = 0\}. \quad (3)$$

**Theorem 2** (See e.g. [13], [5, Prop. 2.1, p. 55]). Let  $X$  be a finite-dimensional normed space. Then

$$\text{ext } S_{\mathcal{L}^*(X)} = \text{ext } S_{X^*} \otimes \text{ext } S_X,$$

where  $(x^* \otimes x)(L) = x^*(Lx)$  for  $x \in X$ ,  $x^* \in X^*$  and  $L \in \mathcal{L}(X, X)$ .

**Lemma 3** (See e.g. [3]). Assume that  $X$  is a normed space and let  $Y$  be a subspace of codimension  $k$ ,  $Y = \bigcap_{i=1}^k \ker f^i$ , where the  $f^i \in X^*$  are linearly independent. Then there exist  $y^1, \dots, y^k \in X$  satisfying  $f^i(y^j) = \delta_{ij}$  for  $i, j = 1, \dots, k$  such that

$$Px = x - \sum_{i=1}^k f^i(x)y^i \quad \text{for } x \in X.$$

Now we present a Kolmogorov type criterion which permits us to calculate the strong unicity constants of some subspaces of  $\mathcal{L}(l_\infty^{(n)})$ .

**Theorem 4** (See e.g. [14, Th. 2.1, p. 855]). Let  $X$  be a normed space and let  $Y \subset X$  be one of its subspaces. Assume that  $x \in X \setminus Y$ . Then  $y_0 \in Y$  is the strongly unique best approximation to

$x$  (for short SUBA) with a constant  $r > 0$  if and only if for any  $y \in Y$  there exists  $f \in E(x - y_0)$  such that  $\text{Ref}(y) \leq -r\|y\|$ .

## 2. Preliminary results

We start with a well-known lemma which characterizes the optimal strong uniqueness constants. This characterization first appeared in [2]. For the sake of completeness we will include the proof.

**Lemma 5** (See [2], [6, Th. 1.3, p. 84]). Let  $V \subset X$ ,  $\dim V = n$ ,  $x_0 \in X \setminus V$ ,  $v_0 \in V$ . If  $v_0$  is a SUBA to  $x_0$  in  $V$ , then

$$r = \inf_{v \in S_V} \left( \sup_{g \in E(x_0 - v_0)} g(v) \right)$$

is the strong unicity constant.

**Proof.** By Theorem 4, for every  $v \in S_V$  there exists  $g \in E(x_0 - v_0)$  such that  $g(-v) < 0$ . Consequently  $g(v) > 0$  and  $r \geq 0$ . Denote

$$h(v) = \sup_{g \in E(x_0 - v_0)} g(v).$$

Let  $\{v_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset S_V$  be such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(v_n) = \inf_{v \in S_V} h(v) = r. \quad (4)$$

By compactness of  $S_V$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} v_{n_k} = \hat{v} \in S_V$ . Notice that  $h(\hat{v}) = r$ . Suppose that this is not true, namely  $h(\hat{v}) > r + \delta$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . Then  $g(\hat{v}) > r + \delta$  for some  $g \in E(x_0 - v_0)$ . Since  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} g(v_{n_k}) = g(\hat{v})$ ,

$$h(v_{n_k}) \geq g(v_{n_k}) > r + \delta \quad \text{for } k \geq k_0,$$

which leads to a contradiction with (4).

Let  $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$ . Since  $E(x_0 - v_0)$  is  $\omega^*$ -closed, there exists  $g \in E(x_0 - v_0)$  such that

$$g\left(-\frac{v}{\|v\|}\right) = h\left(-\frac{v}{\|v\|}\right) \geq r. \quad (5)$$

In particular  $r = h(\hat{v}) = g(\hat{v})$  for some  $g \in E(x_0 - v_0)$ . Hence  $r > 0$  and we can write

$$r = \min_{v \in S_V} \left( \max_{g \in E(x_0 - v_0)} g(v) \right).$$

By (5),

$$g(-v) \geq r\|v\| \quad \text{and} \quad g(v) \leq -r\|v\|,$$

which shows that the strong unicity constant is not less than  $r$ . Now we prove the opposite inequality. Let  $r_1 > r$ . Then there exists  $v \in S_V$  such that

$$\sup_{g \in E(x_0 - v_0)} g(-v) < r_1.$$

Note that for every  $g \in E(x_0 - v_0)$  we have  $g(-v) < r_1$ . This implies that  $g(v) > -r_1\|v\|$  for every  $g \in E(x_0 - v_0)$ . By Theorem 4,  $r_1$  is not the strong unicity constant.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.** Let  $0 < f_1 \leq f_2 \leq \dots \leq f_k < 1/2$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^k f_i = 1$ . Define

$$\hat{U} := \left\{ y \in l_{\infty}^{(k)} : \sum_{i=1}^k f_i y_i = 0, \|y\|_{\infty} = \max_{i=1, \dots, k} |y_i| = 1 \right\}. \quad (6)$$

Let us define

$$\hat{r} := \min_{y \in \hat{U}} \left( \max_{i=1, \dots, k} (1 - 2f_i) y_i \right). \quad (7)$$

Then  $\hat{r}$  is the SUP-constant of a space  $\mathcal{P}(l_{\infty}^{(k)}, \hat{H})$ , where

$$\hat{H} := \ker \hat{f}, \quad \hat{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k.$$

**Proof.** Let  $P_0 \in \mathcal{P}(l_{\infty}^{(k)}, \hat{H})$  be a minimal projection. By Lemma 3,  $P_0$  has a form  $P_0(x) = x - \hat{f}(x)y$ , where  $\hat{f}(y) = 1$ . Since  $P_0$  is a minimal projection,  $0 \in \mathcal{L}_{\hat{H}}$  (see (3)) is the best approximation to  $P_0$  in  $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{H}}$ . Assume that  $L \in \mathcal{L}_{\hat{H}}$  and  $\|L\| = 1$ . Then  $L(\cdot) = \hat{f}(\cdot)y$  for some  $y \in \hat{H}$  such that  $\|y\|_{\infty} = 1$ . By Theorem 2 and [11, Ex. III.2.9, p. 103],

$$E(P_0) = \{e_i \otimes x^i : i = 1, \dots, k\}, \quad (8)$$

where

$$x^i = (-1, \dots, -1, 1_i, -1, \dots, -1) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, k. \quad (9)$$

Hence we can write

$$\max_{g \in E(P_0)} g(L) = \max_{i=1, \dots, k} (e_i \otimes x^i)(\hat{f}(\cdot)y) = \max_{i=1, \dots, k} \hat{f}(x^i)y_i = \max_{i=1, \dots, k} (2f_i - 1)y_i.$$

Consequently, by Lemma 5, the SUP-constant  $r$  is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} r &= \min_{\substack{L \in \mathcal{L}_{\hat{H}}, \\ \|L\|=1}} \left( \max_{g \in E(P_0)} g(L) \right) \\ &= \min_{\substack{y \in \hat{H}, \\ \|y\|_{\infty}=1}} \left( \max_{i=1, \dots, k} (2f_i - 1)y_i \right) = \min_{y \in \hat{U}} \left( \max_{i=1, \dots, k} (1 - 2f_i)y_i \right). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Now we state a theorem which has been proved by V.V. Lokot in [8]. He showed that the SUP-constant of  $\mathcal{P}(l_{\infty}^{(k)}, \ker \hat{f})$ ,  $0 < f_1 \leq f_2 \leq \dots \leq f_k < 1/2$  is equal to

$$\frac{uf_1(1 - 2f_1)}{1 - 2f_1 - uf_1}, \quad \text{where } u = 1 - \|P_0\| = \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{f_i}{1 - 2f_i} \right)^{-1}.$$

We also present a different proof of this result.

**Theorem 7** (See [8]). Let  $\hat{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_k)$ .  $\hat{U}$  and  $\hat{r}$  are the same as in Corollary 6 (see (6), (7)). Then

$$\hat{r} = \frac{f_1}{A}, \quad \text{where } A = \sum_{i=2}^k \frac{f_i}{1 - 2f_i}. \quad (10)$$

**Proof.** Let  $y \in \hat{U}$  yield the minimum in (7). By (6), there exists  $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  such that  $|y_{i_0}| = 1$ . Now we consider two cases.

Case 1:  $y_{i_0} = 1$ .

If  $i_0 = 1$ , then  $\frac{f_1}{1-2f_1} \leq \frac{f_i}{1-2f_i}$  (since  $f_1 \leq f_i$ ) for all  $i = 2, \dots, k$ . Hence

$$\frac{f_1}{(1-2f_1)} \leq \sum_{i=2}^k \frac{f_i}{1-2f_i},$$

and

$$(1-2f_1) \cdot A \geq f_1. \quad (11)$$

Suppose that  $i_0 \in \{2, \dots, k\}$ . Then

$$(1-2f_{i_0}) \cdot A \geq (1-2f_k) \cdot \frac{f_k}{1-2f_k} = f_k \geq f_1. \quad (12)$$

By (11) and (12),

$$\max_{i=1, \dots, k} (1-2f_i)y_i \geq (1-2f_{i_0}) \geq \frac{f_1}{A}. \quad (13)$$

Case 2:  $y_{i_0} = -1$ . Then

$$\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_0}}^k f_i y_i = -f_{i_0} y_{i_0} = f_{i_0} \geq f_1.$$

Now we show that there exists  $i_1 \in \{1, \dots, k\} \setminus \{i_0\}$  such that  $y_{i_1} \geq \frac{f_1}{(1-2f_{i_1}) \cdot A}$ . Suppose that for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\} \setminus \{i_0\}$ ,  $y_i < \frac{f_1}{(1-2f_i) \cdot A}$ . Then

$$f_1 \leq f_{i_0} = \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_0}}^k f_i y_i < \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_0}}^k f_i \cdot \frac{f_1}{(1-2f_i) \cdot A} = \frac{f_1}{A} \left( A + \frac{f_1}{1-2f_1} - \frac{f_{i_0}}{1-2f_{i_0}} \right).$$

Hence

$$\frac{f_1}{1-2f_1} - \frac{f_{i_0}}{(1-2f_{i_0})} > 0,$$

which leads to a contradiction with  $f_{i_0} \geq f_1$ . Hence

$$\max_{i=1, \dots, k} (1-2f_i)y_i \geq (1-2f_{i_1})y_{i_1} \geq \frac{f_1}{A}.$$

From both cases we get that  $\hat{r} \geq \frac{f_1}{A}$ .

For the converse inequality we find  $y \in \hat{U}$  such that

$$\max_{i=1, \dots, k} (1-2f_i)y_i = f_1/A.$$

Let us define

$$y = \left( -1, \frac{f_1}{(1-2f_2)A}, \frac{f_1}{(1-2f_3)A}, \dots, \frac{f_1}{(1-2f_k)A} \right).$$

By (12) we obtain that  $\|y\|_\infty = 1$ . For every  $i \in \{2, \dots, k\}$ ,

$$(1 - 2f_i)y_i = f_1/A \quad \text{and} \quad (1 - 2f_1)y_1 < 0.$$

Hence

$$\max_{i=1, \dots, k} (1 - 2f_i)y_i = f_1/A.$$

This implies that  $\hat{r} \leq f_1/A$ . The proof is completed.  $\square$

**Example 8.** (a) Let  $f_1 = f_2 = \dots = f_k = \frac{1}{k} < \frac{1}{2}$ . Then

$$A = \sum_{i=2}^k \frac{1/k}{1 - 2/k} = \frac{k-1}{k-2} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{r} = \frac{k-2}{k(k-1)}.$$

(b) Let  $f_1 = f_2 = \dots = f_{k-1} < f_k < \frac{1}{2}$ . Then

$$A = (k-2) \frac{f_1}{1 - 2f_1} + \frac{1 - (k-1)f_1}{2(k-1)f_1 - 1} = \frac{2f_1^2(k-1)^2 + f_1(1-2k) + 1}{(1-2f_1)((2k-2)f_1 - 1)}$$

$$\text{and} \quad \hat{r} = \frac{f_1(1-2f_1)((2k-2)f_1 - 1)}{2f_1^2(k-1)^2 + f_1(1-2k) + 1}.$$

### 3. The main result

Let us define

$$f^{(0)} = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (14)$$

$$f^{(j)} = (0, 0, \dots, 1_{j+k}, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n-k. \quad (15)$$

To the end of this section we will assume that  $k \geq 3, n > k$ . Let

$$H = \bigcap_{j=0}^{n-k} \ker f^{(j)}. \quad (16)$$

**Remark 9.** Let  $L \in \mathcal{L}_H$  (see (3)). Then  $L$  has the form

$$L(\cdot) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} f^{(j)}(\cdot) y^j,$$

where  $y^j \in H$  for  $j = 0, \dots, n-k$ . Since  $H \subset \bigcap_{j=0}^{n-k} \ker f^{(j)}$ , we additionally get that  $y_i^j = 0$  for  $j = 0, \dots, n-k, i = k+1, \dots, n$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^k f_i y_i^j = 0$  for  $j = 0, \dots, n-k$ .

**Lemma 10.** Let  $L \in \mathcal{L}_H, L(\cdot) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} f^{(j)}(\cdot) y^j$ , where  $y^j \in H$ . Then

$$\|L\| = \max_{i=1, \dots, k} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} |y_i^j| \right). \quad (17)$$

**Proof.**

$$\|L\| = \max_{\substack{i=1, \dots, n, \\ \|x\|_\infty=1}} |(Lx)_i| = \max_{i=1, \dots, n} \left( \max_{\|x\|_\infty=1} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} f^{(j)}(x) y_i^j \right| \right).$$

Since functionals  $f^{(j)}$  have disjoint supports and  $\|f^{(j)}\| = 1$  for  $j = 0, \dots, n-k$ , we can select  $x \in l_\infty^{(n)}$  such that

$$\|L\| = \max_{i=1, \dots, n} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} |y_i^j| \right).$$

By Remark 9,  $y_i^j = 0$  for  $j = 0, \dots, n-k$ ,  $i = k+1, \dots, n$ , which implies (17).  $\square$

**Lemma 11.** Let us define

$$U = \left\{ (y^0, \dots, y^{n-k}) \in (l_\infty^{(n)})^{n-k+1} \mid y^j \in H, j = 0, \dots, n-k, \right. \\ \left. \max_{i=1, \dots, k} \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} |y_i^j| = 1 \right\}. \quad (18)$$

Then the SUP-constant of  $\mathcal{P}(l_\infty^{(n)}, H)$  is equal to

$$r = \min \left\{ \max_{i=1, \dots, k} \left( (1 - 2f_i)y_i^0 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} |y_i^j| \right) : (y^0, \dots, y^{n-k}) \in U \right\}. \quad (19)$$

**Proof.** Let  $Q_0 \in \mathcal{P}(l_\infty^{(n)}, H)$  be a minimal projection. Then by (8)–(9) and [7, Th. 1.11]

$$E(Q_0) = \{e_i \otimes x, x \in A_i : i = 1, \dots, k\}, \quad \text{where} \quad (20)$$

$$A_i = \{(-1, \dots, -1, 1_i, -1, \dots, -1_k, \pm 1, \dots, \pm 1)\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, k. \quad (21)$$

Since  $Q_0$  is minimal projection,  $0 \in \mathcal{L}_H$  is a best approximation to  $Q_0$  in  $\mathcal{L}_H$ . Assume that  $L \in \mathcal{L}_H$  and  $\|L\| = 1$ , i.e.  $\max_{i=1, \dots, k} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} |y_i^j| \right) = 1$  (see Lemma 10). By (20) and Remark 9, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{g \in E(Q_0)} g(L) &= \max_{i=1, \dots, k} \left( \max_{x \in A_i} (e_i \otimes x)(L(\cdot)) \right) \\ &= \max_{i=1, \dots, k} \left( \max_{x \in A_i} \left( f^{(0)}(x)y_i^0 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} f^{(j)}(x)y_i^j \right) \right) \\ &= \max_{i=1, \dots, k} \left( (1 - 2f_i)y_i^0 + \max_{x \in A_i} \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} x_{j+k} y_i^j \right) \\ &= \max_{i=1, \dots, k} \left( (1 - 2f_i)y_i^0 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} |y_i^j| \right). \end{aligned}$$

The last equality results from the definition of the sets  $A_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Consequently, by Lemma 5, the SUP-constant  $r$  is equal to

$$r = \min_{\substack{L \in \mathcal{L}_H, \\ \|L\|=1}} \left( \max_{g \in E(Q_0)} g(L) \right)$$

$$= \min \left\{ \max_{i=1, \dots, k} \left( (1 - 2f_i)y_i^0 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} |y_i^j| \right) : (y^0, \dots, y^{n-k}) \in U \right\}. \quad \square$$

**Proposition 12.** Let  $\hat{H} = \ker(f_1, \dots, f_k)$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^k f_i = 1$ . We use the notation from (14)–(16). Let us denote by  $\hat{r}$  and  $r$  the SUP-constant of  $\mathcal{P}(l_\infty^{(k)}, \hat{H})$  and the SUP-constant of  $\mathcal{P}(l_\infty^{(n)}, H)$ , respectively. Then  $r \leq \hat{r}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $L \in \mathcal{L}_H$ ,  $L = \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} f^{(j)}y^j$ , where  $(y^0, \dots, y^{n-k}) = (y^0, 0, \dots, 0) \in U$  and let  $e_i \otimes x \in E(Q_0)$ . Then

$$(e_i \otimes x)(L(\cdot)) = (L(x))_i = (f^{(0)}(x)y^0)_i = \hat{f}(x_1, \dots, x_k)y_i^0 = (e_i \otimes x^i)(\hat{f}(\cdot)y),$$

where  $e_i \otimes x^i \in E(P_0)$  (see (8)–(9) and (20)–(21)),  $y = (y_1^0, \dots, y_k^0) \in \hat{H}$  and  $\hat{f}(\cdot)y \in S_{\mathcal{L}_{\hat{H}}}$ . Hence

$$r = \inf_{L \in \mathcal{L}_H} \left( \sup_{g \in E(Q_0)} g(L) \right) \leq \inf_{L \in \mathcal{L}_{\hat{H}}} \left( \sup_{g \in E(P_0)} g(L) \right) = \hat{r}. \quad \square \quad (22)$$

**Remark 13.** For any  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-k$  if  $(y^0, \dots, y^{n-k}) \in U$  (see (18)), then  $(y^0, \dots, y^{j-1}, -y^j, y^{j+1}, \dots, y^{n-k}) \in U$ . Hence, by a form of the right-hand side of (19) we can assume that for some  $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, k\}$   $y_{i_0}^j \geq 0$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, n-k$ .

Now we prove the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 14.** Let  $\hat{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_k)$ .  $\hat{U}$  and  $\hat{r}$  are the same as in Corollary 6. Consider  $f^{(j)}$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, n-k$  defined by (14)–(15) and  $H$  defined by (16). Then the SUP-constant  $\hat{r}$  of a space  $\mathcal{P}(l_\infty^{(k)}, \hat{H})$  is equal to the SUP-constant  $r$  of a space  $\mathcal{P}(l_\infty^{(n)}, H)$ .

**Proof.** Assume to the contrary that  $r < \hat{r}$  (compare with Proposition 12). Let  $y \in U$  realize a minimum in (19). Take  $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-k} |y_{i_0}^j| = 1. \quad (23)$$

Applying Remark 13, we can assume that  $y_{i_0}^j \geq 0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n-k$ . Now consider two cases:

Case 1:  $y_{i_0}^0 \geq 0$ .

Note that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-k} y_{i_0}^j = 1 - y_{i_0}^0. \quad (24)$$

By our hypothesis

$$(1 - 2f_{i_0})y_{i_0}^0 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} |y_{i_0}^j| < \hat{r}. \quad (25)$$

By (24) this is equivalent to

$$(1 - 2f_{i_0})y_{i_0}^0 + 1 - y_{i_0}^0 < \hat{r},$$



which gives

$$y_{i_0}^0 > \frac{1 - \hat{r}}{2f_{i_0}}. \quad (26)$$

By (13),

$$1 - 2f_{i_0} \geq \frac{f_1}{A} = \hat{r},$$

which combined with (26) leads to

$$y_{i_0}^0 > \frac{1 - \hat{r}}{2f_{i_0}} \geq 1,$$

a contradiction with (23).

Case 2:  $y_{i_0}^0 < 0$ .

We have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-k} y_{i_0}^j = 1 + y_{i_0}^0. \quad (27)$$

By our hypothesis

$$(1 - 2f_i)y_i^0 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} |y_i^j| < \hat{r} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, k.$$

Multiplying each of the above inequalities by  $\frac{f_i}{1-2f_i}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-k} \frac{f_i}{1-2f_i} |y_i^j| < \frac{f_i}{1-2f_i} \hat{r} - f_i y_i^0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, k. \quad (28)$$

Summing up inequalities (28) for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ,  $i \neq i_0$ , we conclude that

$$\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_0}}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} \frac{f_i}{1-2f_i} |y_i^j| < \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_0}}^k \frac{f_i}{1-2f_i} \hat{r} - \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_0}}^k f_i y_i^0. \quad (29)$$

Since  $y^j \in \ker f^{(0)}$  for  $j = 0, \dots, n-k$ ,

$$\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_0}}^k f_i y_i^j = -f_{i_0} y_{i_0}^j \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, \dots, n-k. \quad (30)$$

Combining (29) with (30) we get

$$\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_0}}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} \frac{f_i}{1-2f_i} |y_i^j| < \left( A + \frac{f_1}{1-2f_1} - \frac{f_{i_0}}{1-2f_{i_0}} \right) \hat{r} + f_{i_0} y_{i_0}^0. \quad (31)$$

Since  $f_1 \leq f_{i_0}$ ,

$$- \frac{1}{1-2f_1} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ i \neq i_0}}^{n-k} \sum_{i=1}^k f_i y_i^j \leq \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_0}}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} \frac{f_i}{1-2f_1} |y_i^j| \leq \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_0}}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} \frac{f_i}{1-2f_i} |y_i^j|. \quad (32)$$

By (30)–(32) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{1-2f_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} f_{i_0} y_{i_0}^j < \left( A + \frac{f_1}{1-2f_1} - \frac{f_{i_0}}{1-2f_{i_0}} \right) \hat{r} + f_{i_0} y_{i_0}^0.$$

Applying (27), taking into account that  $A \cdot \hat{r} = f_1$  (see (10)) and  $f_1 \leq f_{i_0}$ , we get

$$\frac{f_{i_0}}{1-2f_1} (1 + y_{i_0}^0) < \left( \frac{f_1}{1-2f_1} - \frac{f_{i_0}}{1-2f_{i_0}} \right) \hat{r} + f_{i_0} (1 + y_{i_0}^0). \quad (33)$$

Note that (33) is equivalent to

$$y_{i_0}^0 < \frac{1-2f_1}{2f_1 f_{i_0}} \left( \frac{f_1}{1-2f_1} - \frac{f_{i_0}}{1-2f_{i_0}} \right) \hat{r} - 1. \quad (34)$$

Since  $f_1 \leq f_{i_0}$ , inequality (34) implies  $y_{i_0}^0 < -1$ , a contradiction with (23).  $\square$

**Remark 15.** The SUP-constant of  $\mathcal{P}(l_\infty^{(4)}, H)$ , where  $H = \ker f \cap \ker g$ ,  $f = (1, r, s, 0)$ ,  $g = (0, 0, 0, 1)$ , was found by Martinov in [9]. Theorem 14 solves the conjecture stated in [12, Rem. 4, p. 120] and generalizes [9].

Now we shall investigate the case when a norm of minimal projection is equal to 1. First we prove a preliminary result.

**Lemma 16.** Let  $0 \leq f_1 \leq \dots \leq f_{k-1} < f_k$ ,  $f_k \geq 1/2$  and  $f_{k-1} < 1/2$ . Consider  $f^{(j)}$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, n-k$  defined by (14)–(15) and  $H$  defined by (16). Assume that  $Q \in \mathcal{P}(l_\infty^{(n)}, H)$  and let  $Q_0 \in \mathcal{P}(l_\infty^{(n)}, H)$  be a minimal projection. Then

$$\|Q - Q_0\| = \max_{i=1, \dots, k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} |y_i^j|.$$

**Proof.** Since  $y^j \in H$  for  $j = 0, \dots, n-k$ ,

$$-f_k y_k^j = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} f_i y_i^j, \quad j = 0, \dots, n-k.$$

Hence

$$f_k |y_k^j| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} f_i y_i^j \right|, \quad j = 0, \dots, n-k. \quad (35)$$

Summing up Eq. (35) for  $j = 0, \dots, n-k$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} f_k \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} |y_k^j| &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} f_i y_i^j \right| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} f_i |y_i^j| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} f_i \max_{j=0, \dots, n-k} \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} |y_i^j| \\ &= (1 - f_k) \max_{i=1, \dots, k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} |y_i^j| \leq f_k \max_{i=1, \dots, k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} |y_i^j|. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

In the last inequality we have applied the fact that  $f_k \geq \frac{1}{2}$ . Dividing (36) by  $f_k$  we get

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-k} |y_k^j| \leq \max_{i=1, \dots, k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} |y_i^j|.$$

Applying Lemma 10 we get the desired equality.  $\square$

**Theorem 17.** Let  $0 \leq f_1 \leq \dots \leq f_k$ , where  $f_k \geq 1/2$ ,  $f_{k-1} < 1/2$ ,  $k \geq 3$  and  $n > k$ . Consider  $\hat{f} = \ker(f_1, \dots, f_k)$ ,  $f^{(j)}$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, n-k$  defined by (14)–(15) and  $H$  defined by (16). Then the SUP-constant of a space  $\mathcal{P}(l_\infty^{(k)}, \hat{H})$  is equal to the SUP-constant of a space  $\mathcal{P}(l_\infty^{(n)}, H)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $P_0 \in \mathcal{P}(l_\infty^{(k)}, \hat{H})$  and  $Q_0 \in \mathcal{P}(l_\infty^{(n)}, H)$  be the minimal projections. Then (see [7])  $\|P_0\| = \|Q_0\| = 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} P_0(x) &= x - \hat{f}(x)y, \quad x \in l_\infty^{(k)}, \quad y = (0, \dots, 0, 1/f_k), \\ Q_0(x) &= (P_0(x_1, \dots, x_k), 0, \dots, 0), \quad x \in l_\infty^{(n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Let  $Q \in \mathcal{P}(l_\infty^{(n)}, H)$ . Notice that  $Q - Q_0 \in \mathcal{L}_H$  and  $Q(\cdot) - Q_0(\cdot) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} f^{(j)}(\cdot)y^j$ , where  $y^j \in H$  for  $j = 0, \dots, n-k$  (see Remark 9). By Lemma 16, for some  $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ ,

$$\|Q - Q_0\| = \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} |y_{i_0}^j|.$$

Since  $y_{i_0} = 0$ , we get that  $(e_{i_0} \circ P_0)(x) = x_{i_0}$  (see (37)). Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q\| &\geq \|e_{i_0} \circ Q\| = \max_{\|x\|_\infty=1} \left| (e_{i_0} \circ P_0)(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} f^{(j)}(x)y_{i_0}^j \right| \\ &= \max_{\|x\|_\infty=1} \left| x_{i_0} + \sum_{i=1}^k f_i x_i y_{i_0}^0 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} x_{k+j} y_{i_0}^j \right| \\ &= \max_{\|x\|_\infty=1} \left| x_{i_0}(1 + f_{i_0} y_{i_0}^0) + \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_0}}^k f_i x_i y_{i_0}^0 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} x_{k+j} y_{i_0}^j \right| \\ &= |1 + f_{i_0} y_{i_0}^0| + (1 - f_{i_0}) |y_{i_0}^0| + \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} |y_{i_0}^j| \\ &\geq 1 + (1 - 2f_{i_0}) \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} |y_{i_0}^j| \geq \|Q_0\| + (1 - 2f_{k-1}) \|Q - Q_0\|. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality results from  $f_{i_0} \leq f_{k-1}$  for  $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ . It is well known that the SUP-constant of a space  $\mathcal{P}(l_\infty^{(k)}, \hat{H})$  is equal to  $\hat{r} = 1 - 2f_{k-1}$  [11, Th. III.3.1, p. 105]. Hence we have shown that  $r \geq 1 - 2f_{k-1} = \hat{r}$ . By Proposition 12, the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Remark 18** (See [11, Theorem III.3.1, p. 105]). Let  $P_0 \in \mathcal{P}(l_\infty^{(k)}, \ker \hat{f})$  be the minimal projection, where  $\hat{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_k)$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^k |f_i| = 1$ .

If  $\|P_0\| = 1$ , then  $P_0$  is the SUM-projection if and only if  $|f_i| \geq 1/2$  for exactly one index  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ .

If  $\|P_0\| > 1$ , then  $P_0$  is the SUM-projection if and only if  $0 < |f_i| < 1/2$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .

For results involving SUM-projections onto hyperplanes in  $l_\infty^n$  see also, for example, [1].

**Remark 19** (See [11, Th. III.3.1, p. 105]). Let  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^k |f_i| = 1$ . Let  $\sigma$  be a permutation of the set  $\{1, \dots, k\}$ . Set  $\hat{f} = (|f_{\sigma_1}|, \dots, |f_{\sigma_k}|)$ . Then the SUP-constant of  $\mathcal{P}(l_\infty^{(k)}, \ker \hat{f})$  is equal to the SUP-constant of  $\mathcal{P}(l_\infty^{(k)}, \ker f)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $P \in \mathcal{P}(l_\infty^{(k)}, \ker f)$ ,  $P(x) = x - f(x)y$ . Set  $\bar{y}_i = y_{\sigma_i}$  if  $f_{\sigma_i} = 0$ ,  $\bar{y}_i = f_{\sigma_i}/|f_{\sigma_i}|y_{\sigma_i}$  if  $f_{\sigma_i} \neq 0$ . Let  $\hat{P}(x) = x - \hat{f}(x)\bar{y}$ . Then  $\hat{P} \in \mathcal{P}(l_\infty^{(k)}, \ker \hat{f})$  and  $\|\hat{P}\| = \|P\|$ . Hence the mapping  $P \rightarrow \hat{P}$  is an isometry from  $\mathcal{P}(l_\infty^{(k)}, \ker f)$  onto  $\mathcal{P}(l_\infty^{(k)}, \ker \hat{f})$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Now we can state the main result of this paper in a more general form.

**Theorem 20.** Consider  $f^{(j)}$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, n-k$ , defined by (14)–(15) and  $H$  defined by (16). Let  $\hat{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^k |f_i| = 1$  and  $\hat{H} = \ker \hat{f}$ . Assume that the minimal projection  $P_0 \in \mathcal{P}(l_\infty^{(k)}, \ker \hat{f})$  is the SUM-projection. Then the minimal projection  $Q_0 \in \mathcal{P}(l_\infty^{(n)}, H)$  is the SUM-projection and the SUP-constant  $\hat{r}$  of a space  $\mathcal{P}(l_\infty^{(k)}, \hat{H})$  is equal to the SUP-constant  $r$  of a space  $\mathcal{P}(l_\infty^{(n)}, H)$ . Additionally:

If  $\|P_0\| = 1$ , then there exists exactly one index  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  such that  $|f_i| \geq 1/2$  and  $r = \hat{r} = \min\{1 - 2|f_j| : j = 1, \dots, k, j \neq i\}$ .

If  $\|P_0\| > 1$ , then  $0 < |f_i| < 1/2$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  and

$$r = \hat{r} = \frac{u|f_{i_0}|(1 - 2|f_{i_0}|)}{1 - 2|f_{i_0}| - u|f_{i_0}|},$$

where  $u = 1 - \|P_0\| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{|f_i|}{1 - 2|f_i|}\right)^{-1}$  and  $|f_{i_0}| = \min\{|f_j| : j = 1, \dots, k\}$ .

## References

- [1] M. Baronti, G. Lewicki, Strongly unique minimal projections on hyperplanes, J. Approx. Theory 78 (1994) 1–18.
- [2] M.W. Bartelt, H.W. McLaughlin, Characterizations of strong unicity in approximation theory, J. Approx. Theory 9 (1973) 255–266.
- [3] J. Blatter, E.W. Cheney, Minimal projections onto hyperplanes in sequence spaces, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 101 (1974) 215–227.
- [4] E.W. Cheney, Introduction to Approximation Theory, AMS Chelsea Publishing, 2000.
- [5] H.S. Collins, W. Ruess, Weak compactness in spaces of compact operators and of vector-valued functions, Pacific J. Math. 106 (1) (1983) 45–71.
- [6] G. Lewicki, Strong unicity criterion in some space of operators, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 34 (1) (1993) 81–87.
- [7] G. Lewicki, On minimal projections in  $l_\infty^{(n)}$ , Monatsh. Math. 129 (2000) 119–131.
- [8] V.V. Lokot, The constants of strongly unique minimal projections onto hyperplanes in  $l_\infty^n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ), Mat. Zametki 72 (5) (2002) 723–728.
- [9] O.M. Martinov, Constants of strong unicity of minimal projections onto some two-dimensional subspaces of  $l_\infty^{(4)}$ , J. Approx. Theory 118 (2002) 175–187.
- [10] D.J. Newman, H.S. Shapiro, Some theorems on Chebyshev approximation, Duke Math. J. 30 (4) (1963) 673–681.
- [11] W. Odyńiec, G. Lewicki, Minimal Projections in Banach Spaces, in: Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1449, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1990.
- [12] W. Odyńiec, M.P. Prophet, A lower bound of the strongly unique minimal projection constant of  $l_\infty^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , J. Approx. Theory 145 (2007) 111–121.
- [13] W. Ruess, C. Stegall, Extreme points in duals of operator spaces, Math. Ann. 261 (1982) 535–546.
- [14] A. Wójcik, Characterization of strong unicity by tangent cones, in: Z. Ciesielski (Ed.), Approximation and Function Spaces, Proceedings of the International Conference, Gdańsk, 1979, PWN, North-Holland, Amsterdam, Warsaw, 1981, pp. 854–866.